



## EXAMPLE SHEET #1

Course webpage: [https://www.math.uni-hamburg.de/home/loewe/Lent2019/TST\\_L19.html](https://www.math.uni-hamburg.de/home/loewe/Lent2019/TST_L19.html)

### Example Classes.

#1. Monday 4 February, 3:30–5pm, MR5.

#2. Monday 18 February, 3:30–5pm, MR5.

#3. Monday 4 March, 3:30–5pm, MR5.

#4. TBD; probably Thursday 14 March.

*You hand in your work at the beginning of the Example Class.*

1. Let  $(M, \in) \models \text{ZFC}$  and refer to the natural numbers of  $M$  by the usual symbols 0, 1, etc. Consider the (non-transitive) set  $A := M \setminus \{1\}$ . In class, we saw that  $(A, \in) \models \neg \text{Extensionality}$ . Check the other axioms of ZFC for their validity in  $(A, \in)$ .
2. We called a formula  $\Delta_0$  if it is in the closure of the quantifier-free formulas under the operations  $\varphi \mapsto \neg\varphi$ ,  $(\varphi, \psi) \mapsto \varphi \wedge \psi$ ,  $(\varphi, \psi) \mapsto \varphi \vee \psi$ ,  $(\varphi, \psi) \mapsto \varphi \rightarrow \psi$ ,  $\varphi \mapsto \exists x(x \in y \wedge \varphi)$ , and  $\varphi \mapsto \forall x(x \in y \rightarrow \varphi)$ . Check whether the following formulas are  $\Delta_0$  and give an argument for your answer:
  - (a)  $\exists x(\forall z(\neg z \in x) \wedge x \in y)$ ;
  - (b)  $(x = y) \vee (z \in x)$ ;
  - (c)  $\forall x(x \in y \rightarrow x \in z)$ ;
  - (d)  $\exists x(x \in y \wedge \neg x \in z)$ ;
  - (e)  $\exists x(x \in y \wedge \neg(\exists z(z \in y \wedge (z \in x \vee y \in x))))$ .
3. Let  $T$  be any  $\mathcal{L}_\in$ -theory. We called a formula  $\Delta_0^T$  if the theory  $T$  proves that it is equivalent to a  $\Delta_0$  formula. Show that the following concepts can be expressed by  $\Delta_0^T$ -formulas for a reasonable choice of  $T$ ; also, indicate what  $T$  you choose and why.
  - (a)  $z = \{x, y\}$ ;
  - (b)  $z = (x, y)$ ;
  - (c)  $z = y \times y$ ;
  - (d)  $z$  is a function;
  - (e)  $z$  is a group;
  - (f)  $z$  is a linear order;
  - (g)  $z$  is a set with exactly two elements.

4. The *Mirimanoff rank* is defined by  $\varrho(x) := \min\{\alpha; x \in \mathbf{V}_{\alpha+1}\}$ . Show that for any ordinals  $\alpha \leq \beta$ , the following hold:
- (a)  $\mathbf{V}_\alpha$  is transitive;
  - (b)  $\mathbf{V}_\alpha \subseteq \mathbf{V}_\beta$ ;
  - (c) if  $x \in y$ , then  $\varrho(x) < \varrho(y)$ ;
  - (d)  $\varrho(x) := \sup\{\varrho(y) + 1; y \in x\}$ ;
  - (e)  $\alpha = \{\gamma \in \mathbf{V}_\alpha; \gamma \text{ is an ordinal}\}$ .
5. Suppose that  $\lambda > \omega$  is a limit ordinal. Show that
- (a)  $(\mathbf{V}_\lambda, \in) \models \text{Union}$ ;
  - (b)  $(\mathbf{V}_\lambda, \in) \models \text{Separation}$ ;
  - (c)  $(\mathbf{V}_\lambda, \in) \models \text{PowerSet}$ .
6. Give a concrete example of a wellorder  $(X, R) \in \mathbf{V}_{\omega+\omega}$  that is not isomorphic to an ordinal  $\alpha \in \mathbf{V}_{\omega+\omega}$ .
7. We said that a cardinal  $\kappa$  is *regular* if there is no partition  $\kappa = \bigcup_{\beta \in I} A_\beta$  with  $|I| < \kappa$  and  $|A_\beta| < \kappa$  for all  $\beta \in I$ .
- Let  $\kappa$  be an arbitrary cardinal and define  $\text{cf}(\kappa)$  to be the least cardinal  $\lambda$  such that there is a partition  $\kappa = \bigcup_{\beta \in I} A_\beta$  with  $|I| = \lambda$  and  $|A_\beta| < \kappa$  for all  $\beta \in I$ .
- Show that  $\text{cf}(\kappa)$  is a regular cardinal.
8. A cardinal  $\kappa$  is called an *aleph fixed point* if  $\aleph_\kappa = \kappa$ . Show in ZFC that there is an aleph fixed point  $\kappa$  such that  $\text{cf}(\kappa) = \aleph_0$ .
9. Show that if  $\kappa$  is inaccessible, then  $\mathbf{V}_\kappa = \mathbf{H}_\kappa$ . What can you say about the converse?
10. As usual, work inside a model  $(M, \in) \models \text{ZFC}$ . Suppose that  $A \subseteq M$  is transitive and  $(A, \in) \models \text{ZFC}$ . Suppose that  $M$  and  $A$  disagree about the value of  $\aleph_1$ , i.e., there is a countable ordinal  $\alpha$  such that  $(A, \in) \models \text{“}\alpha \text{ is the first uncountable cardinal”}$ . Show that there is some  $x \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \notin A$ .
11. As usual, work inside a model  $(M, \in) \models \text{ZFC}$ . Let  $\Phi(x)$  be the formula expressing “ $x$  is an inaccessible cardinal”, let  $\text{IC} := \exists x \Phi(x)$ , and let  $\lambda$  be a limit ordinal.
- (a) Show that  $\Phi$  is absolute between  $\mathbf{V}_\lambda$  and  $M$ .
  - (b) Show that if  $\kappa$  is the least inaccessible cardinal, then  $\mathbf{V}_\kappa \models \text{ZFC} + \neg \text{IC}$ .
  - (c) Give a proof of  $\text{ZFC} \not\vdash \text{IC}$  that does not use Gödel’s Incompleteness Theorem.
  - (d) Show that  $\text{ZFC} + \text{IC}$  does not prove that there are two inaccessible cardinals.
12. Work in  $\text{ZFC} + \text{IC}$  and show that there is a cardinal  $\lambda$  with  $\text{cf}(\lambda) = \aleph_0$  such that  $\mathbf{V}_\lambda \models \text{ZFC}$ . (*Hint.* Define  $\lambda$  as a countable union by recursion and use the Tarski-Vaught criterion to show that  $\mathbf{V}_\lambda \prec \mathbf{V}_\kappa$  where  $\kappa$  is the inaccessible cardinal.)