Deformation Theor, after Lurie (DAG X)

<u>& Preliminaries</u>

Disclaimer: mategories work <sup>TM</sup>  
Recall: For nice tabegory of abelian grap objects of C  
For nice acategory of abelian grap objects of C  
For nice acategory of abelian grap objects of C  
For nice acategory (C (eq. C = Ani = acategory of anima/spaces/agrapoids))  
have adjunction to stabilization of C  
pointed doj of C  

$$e_{+}$$
 Stab(C) = lim (...  $e_{-}$  C  $e_{+}$  )  $\in$  Cata  
 $e_{+}$  Stab(C) = lim (...  $e_{-}$  C  $e_{+}$  )  $\in$  Cata  
 $e_{+}$  Stab(C) = lim (...  $e_{-}$  C  $e_{+}$  )  $\in$  Cata  
 $e_{+}$  Stab(C) = lim (...  $e_{-}$  C  $e_{+}$  )  $\in$  Cata  
 $e_{+}$  Stab(C) = lim (...  $e_{-}$  C  $e_{+}$  )  $\in$  Cata  
 $e_{+}$  Stab(C) =  $e_{+}$  ( $e_{+}$  ( $e_{+}$  )  $e_{+}$  )  $e_{-}$  ( $e_{+}$  )  $e_{-}$  ( $e_{+}$  )  $e_{-}$  ( $e_{+}$  )  $e_{-}$   $e_{-}$  )  $e_{-}$   $e_{-}$  ( $e_{+}$  )  $e_{-}$   $e_{-}$  )  $e_{-}$   $e_{-}$   $e_{-}$  )  $e_{-}$   $e_{-$ 

- Ex: (ex 1.1.6, essentially referring to HA 7.4)In the commutative context for  $K \in CMon(Ab) \subseteq IE_{\infty}(Sp)$  a field
  - $\begin{array}{cccc} \varphi: A' \longrightarrow A \in \mathbb{E}_{\infty} Alg_{k, \geq 0} & elem = \Im \exists n \geq 0 : k[n] A' & \text{in } Mod_{A'} & \text{and } if n = 0 : \\ 1 & \downarrow \phi & T_0 k \otimes T_0 k & \rightarrow T_0 k & \text{is zero} \\ 0 & \rightarrow A & T_0 A' \end{array}$
  - A E 150 Alg k shall and A E 150 Alg k, 20 st. Tr A E Vect f and To A local comm ring with max ideal m st. K = To A/m

$$- \phi: A' \rightarrow A \in \mathbb{E}_{\infty} Alg_{k}^{aug, SM} \text{ small } = P \operatorname{T}_{o}(\phi): \operatorname{T}_{o} A' \rightarrow \operatorname{T}_{o} A \text{ surjective}$$

- Somehow the forgetful functor  $\mathbb{E}_{\infty} Alg_{k}^{aug, SM} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}_{\infty} Alg_{k}$  is full and faithful => can forget about the augmentations and simply write  $\mathbb{E}_{\infty} Alg_{k}^{SM}$ 

## § Formal Moduli Problems

Def: A formal moduli problem in a defo chat 
$$(T, E)$$
 is a functor  $X:T^{rsm} \rightarrow Ani$  with  
 $X(x) = *$  and  $X\begin{pmatrix} A \to A \\ I \to B \end{pmatrix} = X(A^{1}) \to X(A)$   
 $X(B) \to X(B)$   
or equivalently  $X\begin{pmatrix} A \to A^{1} \\ I \to 1 \end{pmatrix} \simeq X(B) \to X(B)$   
or equivalently  $X\begin{pmatrix} A \to A^{1} \\ I \to 1 \end{pmatrix} \simeq X(B) \to X(A^{1})$   
 $(C. Prop 14.15) \begin{pmatrix} A \to A^{1} \\ I \to 1 \end{pmatrix} \simeq X(B) \to X(B)$   
Obtain presentable acategory  $Moduli T \in Fun(T^{sm}, Ani)$   
 $Ex: For A \in \Gamma'$  have FMP Spec(A):  $T^{sm} \to Ani$   
 $B \mapsto Map_{T}(A,B)$   
Ex: In the convertext over a field  
 $X:T^{sm} \to Ani \quad FMP \iff X(*) = *$  and  $X\begin{pmatrix} P \to R_{0} \\ I \to I \\ R_{A} \to R_{2} \end{pmatrix} \simeq X(R_{A}) \to X(R_{0})$   
 $X:R_{A} \to X(R_{0})$   
 $X(R_{A}) \to X(R_{0})$   
 $X:R_{A} \to R_{2}$   
 $S$  The Tangent Complex  
Prop: Let  $(T,E)$  defo chat, recall  $E \in Slab(T^{2}) = Exc(Ani_{*}^{c}, T^{2})$   
 $(i)$  Have foctorization  $Ani_{*}^{c} \stackrel{m}{\longrightarrow} T$   
 $(ii)$  For any FMP XeMaduli<sup>T</sup>:  $X(E):=Ani_{*}^{c} \stackrel{m}{\longrightarrow} T^{sm} \stackrel{M}{\longrightarrow} Ani \in Exc(Ani_{*}^{c}, Ani) = Sp$   
Def: The tangent spectrum (tangent complex) to a FMP XEMaduli<sup>T</sup> is  $X(E) \in Sp$   
Sketch (i) HTS  $E(\chi) \to * = E(0)$  is small  $\forall KeAni_{*}^{c}$   
 $Write K = K_{0} = ... \in K_{m} \cong *$  as finite seq of cell attachements  
 $ie. \begin{array}{c} K_{i-A} \to K_{i} \\ i & J \stackrel{d}{\Longrightarrow} i \end{array}$ 

Have refinement 
$$\hat{X}(E) \in Mod_{HC} \simeq D(C)$$
, so tangent cplx is a chain cplx

Rem: The tangent spectrum is a strong invariant of FUPs!

Ex:

Prop: Let 
$$(T, E)$$
 defo ctxt,  $X, Y \in Moduli^{\square}$   
Then  $x: X \to Y$  is equiv  $A \Rightarrow A_{*}: X(E) \to Y(E)$  is equiv

Prf: "== " clear, precomposing with  $\tilde{E}$  preserves equiv "== " HTS of equiv VAET"<sup>sm</sup> Write  $A = A_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A_n = *$  as composite of elem morphisms Have  $X(*) = * = Y(*) \vee$ 

## <u>S Deformation Theories</u>

Goal: Recognition principle for an equivalence Moduli ~ ~ E Approach: in terms & conditions of D: 100 - Moduli ~ ~ E  $A \longmapsto Map_{r}(A, -)$ Why? Suppose we have such an equivalence Yoneda, warring: po + pb + po + pb For any  $K \in E$  we get  $Ani_{*} \xrightarrow{E} \Gamma \xrightarrow{D^{\circ p}} E^{\circ p} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}(K)} Ani$  which is strongly excissive Assume E presentable & e pres sifted colim Lurie E - Sp monadic Barr-Bede => = = T-Alg for nice algebraic/monadic spectra Def: A deformation theory for a defo ctxt is a functor  $D: \Gamma^{op} \rightarrow E$  satisfying (D1) 已 is presentable (DZ) D admits a ladi D': □ → 17°° (equivly D pres limits)  $(D^3)$  (i) D' -1 D restricts to an equiv  $\Box_o \simeq (\Gamma^{sm})^{op}$  with  $\Box_o \subseteq \Box$  full replete (ii)  $\phi \in \mathbb{G}_0$ , in particular  $\phi = DD'(\phi) = D(*)$  initial in  $(T^{sm})^{op}$ (iii)  $A' \rightarrow B'$   $\downarrow \qquad 1 \text{ small pullback in } P^{sm} \rightarrow \uparrow \qquad T \qquad pushout in $E$ hence $E_0$$  $<math>A \rightarrow B \qquad \qquad D(A') \leftarrow D(B')$ (D4) The functor e: E + Fun(E<sup>op</sup>, Ani) → Fun(T<sup>ism</sup>, Ani) → Exc(Ani\*, Ani)=Sp is conservative and pres sifted colim Rem: By (D3) on, KEE gives rise to a FMP  $\Pi^{sm} \underline{D}, \underline{C}^{op} \underline{\mathcal{L}}^{(K)} Ani$   $\implies$  have functor  $\Psi: \underline{C} \underline{\mathcal{L}}, Fun(\underline{C}^{op}, Ani) \underline{D}^{\ddagger} Moduli^{\Pi}$  and  $e = \widehat{E}^{\ast} \circ \Psi$  is welldef. Warning - This definition is a mashup of Def 1.3.1 & Prop 1.3.5, made for diclactical purposes: this way it is clearer how E relates to prom and why e is welldefined. The conditions of Def 1.3.1 are probably easier to verify in Practice ... - There is a notion of a weak deformation theor, (essentialle D1, D2, D3) This is necessary, since not all defo class admit a deformation theory Ex: In the comm ctxt over a field of char O we will see next time that  $(\operatorname{E}_{\alpha}\operatorname{Alg}_{k}^{\operatorname{ang}})^{\operatorname{op}}$   $\stackrel{\perp}{\longrightarrow}$   $\operatorname{Lie}_{k} := \operatorname{olgLie}_{k} [\operatorname{giso}^{-1}]$  with  $\operatorname{D}'(\mathcal{J}_{*}) \simeq C^{*}_{\operatorname{CE}}(\mathcal{J}_{*})$ 

is a deformation theory

Chevalle, Eilenberg cplx

It remains to demonstrate that we reached our goal: Thm: Let  $(\Gamma, E)$  be a defo ctxt and  $D: \Gamma^{sm} \longrightarrow E^{op}$  be a defo th Then Y: [] → Moduli " is an equiv Sketch (essentially blackboxing Z subchapters of DAGX) The functor  $\Psi: \Xi \longrightarrow Moduli \Pi \subseteq Fun(\Pi^{SM}, Ani)$  pres limits and fillesed colim  $K \longrightarrow (A \mapsto Mop_{\Xi}(D(A), K))$ Fact:  $D(A) \in \Xi^{W}$  by Lem 1.5.10 The functor  $\Psi$  is equiv  $1. \quad \Psi(f): \Psi(k) \simeq \Psi(k') \implies e(f): e(k) \simeq e(k') \implies f: k \simeq k' \quad \checkmark \\ e = \tilde{e}^{*} \circ \psi \qquad \qquad e(f): e(k) \simeq e(k') \implies f: k \simeq k' \quad \checkmark$ 2. For  $X \in Moduli$  HTS  $U_X : X \longrightarrow \Psi \overline{\Phi}(X)$  equiv of FMP by Prop STS  $\Theta := U_X(E) : X(E) \longrightarrow \Psi \Phi(X)(E)$  equiv of spectra  $= e(\Phi(X))$  howotopy colinn is fat realization Fact:  $\exists X \in Fun(\Delta^{0}, Moduli^{n} \times)$  st.  $-\|X \cdot\| \cong X \in Moduli^{n}$ - each Xn is prorepresentable note since  $e, \overline{\Phi}$  pres sifted colinn  $e(\overline{\sigma}(\|X \cdot \|)) \cong \|e(\overline{\Phi}(X \cdot))\|$  filtered colinn of representables in Fun( $\Gamma, Ani$ )  $\Rightarrow$  have  $\Theta_{\bullet}: X_{\bullet}(E) \rightarrow e(\mathbb{Q}(X_{\bullet}))$  with  $||\Theta_{\bullet}|| = 0$  $\Rightarrow$  STS each  $\Theta_n : X_n(E) \rightarrow e(\Phi(X_n))$  is equivalen  $H_7$ each  $U_{X_n}: X_n \to \Psi \Phi(X_n)$  is equiv Since  $\Psi, \Phi$  pres filtered colim  $= \Psi(K)(A)$ STS  $U_{SpecA}: SpecA \to \Psi \Phi SpecA = \Psi(DA)$  equiv  $\forall A \in \Gamma = \bigoplus (DA, K) \forall K$ RTS  $\forall B \in \Pi^{SM}$  Spec(A)(B) =  $Map_{\Gamma}(A,B) \xrightarrow{\sim}{\eta_{\star}} Map_{E}(DB,DA) \simeq Map_{\Gamma}(A,D'DB)$ ty: idrsm ⇒ D'DB